1. Welcome (Nadia Brown)
   a. Me Too Poli Sci Short Course
      i. Brainstorm and strategize mechanisms to end sexual harassment in the profession
      ii. $25,000 grant- only spent half, other half for seed money to fund similar programs
   b. Special Issue of the Journal of *Women, Politics and Policy*
      i. Reach out to Dr. Brown to submit, she is special editor
      ii. Need submissions by November for January issue
      iii. Focus on organic feminist organizing
   c. Conference Events sponsored or co-sponsored by the WCPS
      i. See handout

2. Financial Report (Michelle Wade)
   a. Pay Dues
      i. No online mechanism to pay dues has consequences
      1. Losing out on funds to support work
      2. Only accepting checks is becoming difficult
      ii. Asking institutions for donations
      1. Departments can bridge access for non-binary folks to the women’s caucus
      2. Institutions regularly send out checks
      iii. Looking into a PayPal account

3. WCPS Response to DA_RT Statement by APSA Leadership (Jenn Piscopo and Kristen Monroe)
   a. Created draft statement, presented to attendees to look over and make suggestions
   b. Thought that the APSA response was rather disappointing
      i. It encouraged editors to be understanding and agree to disagree
      ii. Disproportionally effects junior scholars, women, teaching institution, qualitative scholars
   c. Pressure on APSA can actually make them listen- right now there is not a strict policy
      i. Align with other groups and caucuses, similar to the WCPS
      ii. Statement needs to focus on junior scholars at under-resourced institutions
      iii. APSA council normally made up from doctoral granting institution
         1. Representation of other institutions is slim
         2. Policies favor larger, doctoral granting institutions
   d. Suggestions for change
      i. Steps/solutions to be proposed
      1. Do away with DA_RT?
      2. Statement stating that some scholars are exempt from DA_RT (junior scholars and under-resourced institutions)
      3. Statement to APSA, asking them to encourage journals not to accept DA_RT
4. Ask APSA committee to be created on DA_RT, being sure to give representation to under-resourced institutions
   ii. Passed out draft statement
   iii. Send recommendation back to the committee to get more feedback
      1. Include statement asking editors to pledge intellectual diversity
      2. Alternative pledge that combats DA_RT
   iv. Formal vote to let committee continue drafting, but start negotiations
      1. Unanimous vote

4. Betty Glad Legal Defense Fund (Michaele Ferguson)
   a. Gives first $1000 to lawyer for gender-based employment lawsuits
   b. Please consider donating

5. Presentation of the Jane Mansbridge Award
   a. Rebecca Gill, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
   b. Valerie Sulfano, James Madison University

6. Presentation of the Dianne M. Pinderhughes Leadership Award
   a. Jane Junn, University of Southern California, Dornsife
   b. Anna Sampaio, Santa Clara University

7. Announcement of the Okin Young Award in Feminist Political Theory
   a. Nina Hagel, Bates College

8. Women of Color Also Know Stuff (Stella Rouse and Tricia Stapleton)
   a. Focus groups taking place at APSA
   b. Please use hashtag at APSA

9. People of Color Also Know Stuff (Danielle Lemi)
   a. Platform promotes junior scholars and graduate students
   b. Gives exposure to those that normally do not get it
   c. Please encourage colleagues, especially job candidates, to join

10. Gender in Journals – American Political Science Review, editors
    a. Women are under represented in the journal
    b. Claimed that women just need to submit more
    c. What is happening with sexist/racist reviews?
       i. No real response, will not acknowledge trend
       ii. Asked for specific examples
    d. Not having people of color, women, or work on race and gender, included in the journal can send a message that those people/works are not welcome in APSR
       i. Response was to have these groups submit more
       ii. Insists that gender and research areas/methods are not a part of desk rejections
    e. No expert editor on race and gender
       i. Suggestion to send these research areas to an actual expert
       ii. More reviewers need to be added in these areas
    f. Suggestion to not desk reject without getting an expert opinion
    g. Suggestion for a special issue on these areas, with a statement that they have been under represented
h. Publish a rejection issue?
   i. Review audit
      i. Go back and look at the reviews on research about race and gender
      ii. Watch reviews more closely in these areas
   j. Perception that the journal will not publish women, or on topics of race and gender
   k. Suggestion to discount the prestige of publishing in the APSR within departments for tenure and promotion

11. Announcements
   a. Editor Searches: AJPS, APSR, Politics & Gender

12. Vote on Officer Slate (see reverse side)

13. New Business
   a. Possibility of developing an app
      i. Help to create the buddy system
      ii. Find an ally to help navigate professional spaces